

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>A61K 45/06, 31/485, 31/445</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 97/14438</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 24 April 1997 (24.04.97)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU96/00656 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 21 October 1996 (21.10.96)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> PN 6038 19 October 1995 (19.10.95) AU  <b>(71) Applicants (for all designated States except US):</b> THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND [AU/AU]; St. Lucia, QLD 4072 (AU). THE LYNX PROJECT LIMITED [AU/AU]; MLC Building, 8-10 Hobart Place, Canberra, ACT 2606 (AU).  <b>(72) Inventors; and</b> <b>(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only):</b> SMITH, Maree [AU/AU]; 31 Chiswick Road, Bardonia, NY 10962 (US). ROSS, Fraser [AU/AU]; 7A Haig Street, Clayfield, QLD 4011 (AU).  <b>(74) Agent:</b> KELLY, Robin, Thomas; Fisher Adams Kelly, 13/10 Eagle Street, G.P.O. Box 1413, Brisbane, QLD 4000 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> PRODUCTION OF ANALGESIC SYNERGY BY CO-ADMINISTRATION OF SUB-ANALGESIC DOSES OF A MU OPIOID AGONIST AND A KAPPA-2 OPIOID AGONIST		
<b>(57) Abstract</b>  An analgesic composition comprising a sub-analgesic dosage of a $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and a sub-analgesic dosage of a $\kappa_2$ opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.		

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

TITLE

"PRODUCTION OF ANALGESIC SYNERGY BY CO-ADMINISTRATION  
OF SUB-ANALGESIC DOSES OF A *MU* OPIOID AGONIST AND A  
*KAPPA*-2 OPIOID AGONIST"

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to opioids, and in particular co-administration of sub-analgesic doses of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist and a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist for the production of analgesic synergy.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10

Opioid analgesics such as morphine, hydromorphone, oxycodone and fentanyl are among the most powerfully acting and clinically useful drugs producing depression of the central nervous system. These analgesics are the mainstay for the treatment of moderate to severe cancer pain because they are simple to administer and they provide effective pain relief in most patients when used properly (Cancer Pain Relief, World Health Organisation, 1986, Geneva).

15

20

Unlike doses of non-opioid drugs, weak opioids and mixed opioid agonist-antagonists (e.g., buprenorphine), the doses of morphine and other strong opioids can be increased indefinitely, being limited only by the development of unacceptable side effects. These side effects include the development of physical dependence and tolerance, sedation, respiratory depression, hypotension, increase in cerebrospinal fluid pressure, nausea, vomiting and constipation.

25

30

In some patients, particularly the chronically ill, the opioid side effects make it impossible to administer dosages sufficient to adequately control pain over the required time period. Therefore, more active analgesic combinations are in constant demand which offer the possibility of relieving pain with reduced dosages to thereby diminish the expected side effects and toxicity that might result from the otherwise required higher dosages.

In order to explain the above dichotomous effects, it has

been postulated (U.S. Patent 5,512,578) that strong opioids such as morphine are bimodally-acting in that they activate both inhibitory and excitatory opioid receptor-mediated functions of neurones in the nociceptive pathways of the nervous system. In this regard, the inhibitory receptors are considered to be responsible for the production of analgesia and the excitatory receptors are regarded to be involved in the production of some of the undesirable side effects referred to above.

Morphine remains the most widely used analgesic for treatment of moderate to severe pain and is the gold standard against which all opioids are compared. In an effort to make morphine of greater use in the treatment of pain, it has been combined with a variety of substances intended to inhibit one or more of its undesirable side effects. To this end, reference may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 2,770,569 which is directed to a combination of morphine with the compound levo-d-hydroxy-N-allyl-morphinan which is said to suppress or eliminate such undesirable side reactions of morphine as respiratory depression, nausea and vomiting.

Reference also may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 4,126,684 which discloses a reduction of either the addiction liability of an addictive substance such as a narcotic analgesic or a barbiturate or the withdrawal symptoms caused by deprivation of such a substance in an addicted subject by administering the addictive substance, e.g., morphine with a 4-amino-3-p-halophenylbutyric acid.

In U.S. Patent Specification No. 4,415,871, reference is made to the prevention of treatment tolerance and physical dependence in chronic morphine treatment by combining the morphine with any of the specific dipeptides indicated therein.

In U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,041,446 there is disclosed a method of inhibiting the development of tolerance to morphine by combining the morphine with dapiprazole.

In U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,057,519 there is

described a reduction in morphine tolerance by combining the morphine with a benzamide antagonist for a sub-type of the serotonin receptor, 5-HT<sub>3</sub>.

Reference also may be made to U.S. Patent Specification  
5 No. 5,321,019 in which is disclosed a composition containing an addictive substance such as morphine or codeine and at least one non-toxic substance that blocks the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor which inhibits the development of tolerance to and/or dependence on the addictive substance.

10 In addition to morphine, other strong opioids have been combined with a variety of substances intended to alleviate one or more of their undesirable side effects. To this end, reference may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 4,569,937 which is directed to pharmaceutical compositions of ibuprofen and narcotic analgesics  
15 such as oxycodone, oxymorphone, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, morphine, meperidine, and methadone. These compositions were found to exhibit unexpected synergism enabling the use of lower doses of either or both drugs with a concomitant reduction in risk of possible side effects.

20 Reference also may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 4,769,372 which describes a method for treating chronic pain or chronic cough in a patient while preventing or alleviating the development of constipation or other symptoms of intestinal hypomotility wherein an opioid analgesic or antitussive such as  
25 morphine, meperidine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, codeine and hydrocodone is administered to the patient together with an opioid antagonist such as naloxone, naloxone glucuronide and nalmefene glucuronide. However successful this therapeutic combination may be in inhibiting the development of constipation or other symptoms of  
30 intestinal hypomotility, it does not address the problems of tolerance and/or dependence that are associated with the long term administration of narcotic analgesics.

In Australian Patent Application 88042/82 reference is made to an analgesic composition comprising an analgesic effective amount of a narcotic analgesic selected from the group consisting of morphine, oxymorphone, oxycodone and hydromorphone and an  
5 analgesic effective amount of nalbuphine. These combinations are said to improve analgesia while reducing or eliminating the respiratory depression and euphoria usually associated with narcotics.

Reference also may be made to European Patent Application Publication No. 0080047 which discloses combinations of  
10 a strong opioid such as morphine or oxycodone with the carbazole compound 6-chloro- $\alpha$ -methyl-carbazole-2-acetic acid. This carbazole compound is said to potentiate the analgesic action of morphine or oxycodone, thereby reducing the amount of opioid used.

In U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,317,022 there is  
15 disclosed a composition for the selective blockade of opioid binding sites of the brain responsible for respiratory depression comprising an analgesic effective amount of a codeinone derivative and in a mass ratio of 1:2-3 morphine or a morphine derivative indicated therein.

Reference also may be made to U.S. Patent Specification  
20 No. 5,512,578 which is directed to a method for selectively enhancing the analgesic potency (inhibitory effects) of a bimodally-acting opioid agonist such as morphine and simultaneously attenuating the undesirable side effects (excitatory effects) caused by chronic administration thereof comprising co-administration of the  
25 bimodally-acting opioid agonist and an opioid receptor antagonist which selectively inactivates excitatory opioid receptor-mediated side effects. Accordingly, this mode of analgesia is purported to be effected by co-administration of two opioid compounds, one of which  
30 binds to and acts as a selective agonist at inhibitory opioid receptors to cause analgesia and the other of which binds to and acts as a selective antagonist at excitatory opioid receptors so as to attenuate undesirable side effects caused by the administration of the bimodally-

acting opioid agonist while simultaneously enhancing the analgesic effects thereof. In particular, the studies disclosed in U.S. 5,512,578 showed that in cultured foetal dorsal root ganglion sensory neurones co-administration of conventional concentrations ( $\mu$ M) of bimodally-  
5 acting opioid agonists such as morphine with ultra-low concentrations (fM-pM) of opioid receptor antagonists such as naloxone, naltrexone, diprenorphine, etorphine and dihydroetorphine resulted in a marked shortening of the action potential duration (APD) which is consistent with markedly enhanced inhibitory effects.

10 It is a commonly held view (Mather, L.E., 1995, Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol., **22**, 833-836) that all clinically used opioid drugs including hydromorphone, oxycodone and fentanyl mediate their analgesic/antinociceptive effects in the same manner as morphine; *i.e.*, by interacting with  $\mu$ -opioid receptors in the CNS. To this extent,  
15 recent years have seen the development of novel opioid analgesics acting through receptors distinct from those utilised by morphine. Three major types of opioid receptors have been pharmacologically defined, namely  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\kappa$ , and these are further subdivided into various subtypes (for a review see Pasternak, G. W., 1993,  
20 Pharmacological Mechanisms of Opioid Analgesics *In* Clin. Neuropharmacol., **16**, 1-18). It has been suggested that since the effects of endogenous opioids are mediated by at least these three different receptor types, highly selective exogenous opioid agonist or antagonist ligands might have therapeutic applications (Martin, W. R.,  
25 1983, Pharmacol. Rev., **35**, 283). Thus, if a ligand acts at a single opioid receptor type or sub-type, the potential side effects mediated through other opioid receptor types can potentially be minimised or eliminated.

30 In this regard, reference may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,352,680 which is directed to a therapeutic method for treating opioid tolerance comprising administering a  $\delta$ -opioid receptor antagonist to block or reduce the tolerance of an

opioid  $\mu$ -receptor agonist such as morphine.

Reference also may be made to U.S. Patent Specification No. 5,319,087 which discloses the blocking of the  $\mu$  or  $\kappa$  receptors in the brain using trans-3,4-1-substituted-3-substituted-4-methyl-4-(3-  
5 substituted phenyl)-piperidines as opioid antagonists.

Several studies have demonstrated that combinations of  $\mu$ - and  $\delta$ -agonists, administered intrathecally, produce enhanced analgesic effects or analgesic synergy (i.e., more than additive analgesic effects) (Larson *et al.*, 1980, Eur. J. Pharmacol., **61**, 381-383; Roerig & Fugimoto, 1989, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. **249**, 762-768). Other studies have shown that simultaneous intrathecal administration of combinations of a selective  $\mu$ -opioid agonist (DAMGO) with both a  $\kappa_1$ -selective (U50,488H) or a  $\delta$ -selective (DPDPE) opioid agonist also produce analgesic synergy (Miaskowski *et al.*, 1990, Brain Research, **509**, 165-168). In addition, potent  
10 analgesic synergy has been observed with combinations of a low-analgesic dose of a selective  $\mu$ -agonist (DAMGO) co-administered into the central nervous system (CNS) with sequentially increasing doses of either a selective  $\delta$ - (DPDPE) or a selective  $\kappa_1$ -agonist (U50,488H)  
15 (Sutters *et al.*, 1990, Brain Research, **530**, 290-294).  
20

These studies demonstrate that all three major classes of opioid receptors can interact to produce antinociceptive synergy. However, the magnitude of the interactions vary markedly depending on which combinations of selective opioid receptor agonists are  
25 administered. The data from these studies demonstrate that co-activation of the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor, with either  $\delta$ - or  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors, results in the largest enhancement in antinociceptive effects. Importantly, these marked enhancements in antinociception are not attributable to increases in motor deficits.

30 From the foregoing, a number of non-toxic substances have been defined which may ameliorate some of the undesirable side effects resulting from prolonged administration of strong opioids. In



addition, combinations of experimental substances have been defined including  $\mu$ -,  $\kappa_1$ - and  $\delta$ -agonists which result in a synergistic increase in analgesia.

None of these references, however, suggest in any way the desirability of concurrent administration of two strong opioids for analgesic synergy and/or amelioration of their respective undesirable side effects. In fact, just the opposite is suggested. For example, in the World Health Organisation's (WHO) guidelines for the relief of cancer pain (Cancer Pain Relief, 1986, *supra*), it is recommended that co-administration of two strong opioids should never be attempted. Instead, it is recommended that an analgesic ladder should be followed wherein a non-opioid drug is administered initially to a patient and when pain persists or increases, a weak opioid is added to the medication. When the weak opioid drug in combination with the non-opioid drug fails to relieve the pain, a strong opioid is then administered in place of the weak opioid drug. Importantly, it is stipulated that only one opioid drug should be given at any one time.

The current invention arises from the unexpected discovery that co-administration of sub-analgesic dosages of two strong opioids such as morphine and oxycodone results in potent analgesic synergy and a reduced propensity for causing the undesirable side effects herein described. It was further found that oxycodone is a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist and that co-administration of a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist with a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist also results in strong analgesic synergy with reduced undesirable side effects.

#### OBJECT OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide an analgesic composition having high analgesic potency and a reduced propensity for causing undesirable side effects by acute and chronic administration thereof.

It is also an object of the invention to provide a method

for producing analgesia in humans and lower animals in which some of the undesirable effects of acute and chronic administration of strong opioids are substantially attenuated.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5                   According to one aspect of the invention there is provided an analgesic composition comprising a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts  
10 thereof.

                  The  $\mu$ -opioid agonist may be selected from the group including morphine, fentanyl, sufentanil, alfentanil and hydromorphone. Preferably, the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is morphine.

                  For the purposes of this invention, the term " $\kappa_2$ -opioid  
15 agonist" as used herein refers to selective  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor agonists wherein the antinociceptive effects thereof are substantially reversible by nor-BNI (nor-binaltorphimine; a putatively selective  $\kappa_1/\kappa_2$ -opioid receptor ligand) and quadazocine ((-)-1-Cyclopentyl-5-(1,2,3,4,5,-hexahydro-8-hydroxy-3,6,11-trimethyl-2,6-methano-3-benzazocin-11-  
20 yl)-3-pentanone methanesulfonate; a  $\kappa_2$ -selective antagonist (Horan *et al.*, 1993, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 266, 926-933)) but are not substantially reversible by UPHIT (trans-2-isothiocyanato-4,5-dichloro-N-[2(1-pyrrolidinyl)cyclohexyl] benzeneacetamide; a  $\kappa_1$ -selective antagonist (Horan *et al.*, 1991, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 257, 1154-  
25 1161). Preferably, the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist is oxycodone.

                  The term "pharmaceutically acceptable salts" as used herein refers to salts which are toxicologically safe for human and animal administration. These salts may be selected from a group including hydrochlorides, hydrobromides, hydroiodides, sulphates,  
30 bisulphates, nitrates, citrates, tartrates, bitartrates, phosphates, malates, maleates, napsylates, fumarates, succinates, acetates, terephthalates, pamoates and pectinates.

Preferably, the pharmaceutically acceptable salt of oxycodone is a hydrochloride, a terephthalate or a pectinate.

Suitably, the pharmaceutically acceptable salt of morphine is a hydrochloride, a sulphate or a tartrate.

5           The term "sub-analgesic dosage" as used herein refers to a dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist solus or a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist solus which dosage does not result in the production of analgesia when administered to a human or antinociception when administered to a lower animal requiring alleviation of pain. This term will cover direct  
10 administration of the  $\mu$ - or  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist as well as administration which includes controlled release of the  $\mu$ - or  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist as described herein after. Of course it will be appreciated that a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ - or  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist in accordance with the invention will be dependent upon the mode or route of administration  
15 thereof.

Suitable sub-analgesic dosages of such opioid agonists may be readily determined by those of skill in the art. For example, in the case wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist comprises morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, an initial  
20 sub-analgesic dosage of such agonist for a human adult through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

Alternatively, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for  
25 a naive human adult through a subcutaneous, intravenous, intramuscular, buccal or sublingual route may be between about 0.1 mg and about 2.0 mg every four to eight hours.

Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a  
30 naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about 20.0 mg every four to eight hours.

An initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or

derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a human child through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

5 Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.04 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

10 Alternatively, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human child through an oral or rectal route may be between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 0.5 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

15 Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.5 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.

20 In the case wherein the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist comprises oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, a suitable initial sub-analgesic dosage of such agonist for a human adult through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

25 Alternatively, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human adult through a subcutaneous or intravenous route may be between about 1.0 mg and about 4.0 mg every four to eight hours.

30 Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about 5 mg every four to eight hours.

An initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a

human child through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

Alternatively, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive human child through an oral or rectal route may be between about 0.025 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg per day.

Suitably, an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.

According to another aspect of the invention there is provided a method for producing analgesia in humans and lower animals which comprises administering concurrently to a human or lower animal in need of such treatment a composition comprising a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.

The term "administration concurrently" refers to the administration of a single composition containing both  $\mu$ - and  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonists, or the administration of each such opioid agonists as separate compositions and/or delivered by separate routes within a short enough period of time that the effective result is equivalent to that obtained when both such opioid agonists are administered as a single composition.

Any suitable route of administration may be employed for providing a human or lower animal the composition of the invention.

For example, oral, rectal, parenteral, sublingual, buccal, intravenous, intra-articular, intramuscular, intradermal, subcutaneous, inhalational, intraocular, intraperitoneal, intracerebroventricular, transdermal and the like may be employed.

5 Dosage forms include tablets, dispersions, suspensions, injections, solutions, syrups, troches, capsules, suppositories, aerosols, transdermal patches and the like. These dosage forms may also include injecting or implanting slow releasing devices designed specifically for this purpose or other forms of implants modified to act  
10 additionally in this fashion. Slow or controlled release of the strong opioids may be effected by coating the same, for example, with hydrophobic polymers including acrylic resins, waxes, higher aliphatic alcohols, polylactic and polyglycolic acids and certain cellulose derivatives such as hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose. In addition, the  
15 controlled release may be effected by using other polymer matrices, liposomes and/or microspheres.

Pharmaceutically-acceptable carriers for systemic administration may also be incorporated into the compositions of this invention.

20 By "pharmaceutically-acceptable carrier" is meant a solid or liquid filler, diluent or encapsulating substance which may be safely used in systemic administration. Depending upon the particular route of administration, a variety of pharmaceutically-acceptable carriers, well known in the art may be used. These carriers may be selected  
25 from a group including sugars, starches, cellulose and its derivatives, malt, gelatine, talc, calcium sulfate, vegetable oils, synthetic oils, polyols, alginic acid, phosphate buffered solutions, emulsifiers, isotonic saline, and pyrogen-free water.

Pharmaceutical compositions of the present invention  
30 suitable for oral or parenteral administration may be presented as discrete units such as capsules, sachets or tablets each containing a pre-determined amount of each of the strong opioids, as a powder or

granules or as a solution or a suspension in an aqueous liquid, a non-aqueous liquid, an oil-in-water emulsion or a water-in-oil liquid emulsion. Such compositions may be prepared by any of the methods of pharmacy but all methods include the step of bringing into  
5 association the sub-analgesic dosages of each of the strong opioids as described above with the carrier which constitutes one or more necessary ingredients. In general, the compositions are prepared by uniformly and intimately admixing the strong opioids with liquid carriers or finely divided solid carriers or both, and then, if necessary,  
10 shaping the product into the desired presentation.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In order that the invention may be readily understood and put into practical effect, particular preferred embodiments will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying  
15 drawings in which:-

FIG. 1 shows the degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following i.c.v. administration to Sprague-Dawley rats of: 40 nmol oxycodone in combination with 15 nmol morphine; 40 nmol oxycodone solus; and 15 nmol morphine solus.

20 FIG. 2 shows the degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following i.p. administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 571 nmol oxycodone in combination with 621 nmol morphine; 571 nmol oxycodone solus; and 621 nmol morphine solus.

FIG. 3 shows the degree of antinociception observed  
25 following i.c.v. administration of (A) oxycodone (200 nmol), (B) morphine (78 nmol).

FIG. 4 shows the degree of antinociception observed following i.c.v. administration of the  $\mu_1$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist naloxonazine (1 nmol) 24 h prior to i.c.v. administration of  
30 (A) oxycodone (200 nmol) and (B) morphine (78 nmol).

FIG. 5 shows the degree solus of antinociception observed following: (A) i.c.v. administration of oxycodone and the  $\delta$ -

selective opioid against DPDPE solus; and (B) i.c.v. administration of the  $\delta$ -selective opioid antagonist, naltrindole (1 nmol) administered 15 min prior to oxycodone (200 nmol) and 15 min prior to DPDPE (45 nmol);

5                   FIG. 6 shows the degree of antinociception observed following nor-BNI (0.3 nmol) administration 24 h prior to i.c.v. administration of (A) oxycodone (200 nmol), (B) U69,593 (133 nmol), (control data for U69,593 (133 nmol i.c.v.) in untreated rats is also shown), (C) bremazocine (57 nmol) and (D) morphine (78 nmol);

10                  FIG. 7 refers to representative displacement curves of oxycodone and morphine against  $^3\text{H}$ -morphine in rat brain membranes;

                  FIG. 8 refers to representative displacement curves of oxycodone and DPDPE against  $^3\text{H}$ -DPDPE-Cl in rat brain membranes;

15                  FIG. 9 refers to representative displacement curves of oxycodone and bremazocine against  $^3\text{H}$ -U69,593 in guinea-pig brain membranes;

                  FIG. 10 refers to dose response curves respectively for single s.c. doses of morphine and oxycodone;

20                  FIG. 11 refers to plots of %MPE as a function of time to demonstrate onset of antinociception for various ratios of morphine in combination with oxycodone;

                  FIG. 12 refers to plots of %MPE as a function of time to demonstrate  $T_{\text{max}}$ ; *i.e.*, the time at which combinations of morphine and oxycodone, in various ratios, achieve maximal effect;

25                  FIG. 13 refers to Dose-Response curves for s.c. doses of morphine in combination with oxycodone in ratios of 25:75, 50:50, and 75:25;

                  FIG. 14 shows Dose-Response curves of morphine in combination with oxycodone in ratios of 25:75, 50:50, and 75:25;

30                  FIG. 15 refers to an isobologram of s.c. dosing combinations of oxycodone and morphine;

                  FIG. 16 shows the degree of antinociception (%MPE) as



a function of time following s.c. administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 0.01 mg fentanyl in combination with 0.15 mg oxycodone; and 0.01 mg fentanyl solus; and

FIG. 17 shows the degree of antinociception (%MPE) as  
5 a function of time following s.c. administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 0.1 mg hydromorphone in combination with 0.15 mg oxycodone; and 0.1 mg hydromorphone solus.

#### EXAMPLE 1

*Co-administration of Oxycodone and Morphine by  
10 Intracerebroventricular Routes Produces Unexpected Antinociceptive Synergy in Rats*

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

##### Drugs:

Oxycodone hydrochloride was a generous gift from The  
15 Boots Company (Australia) Pty Ltd (Sydney, Australia). Morphine hydrochloride was purchased from the Pharmacy Department, Royal Brisbane Hospital (Brisbane, Australia). All drugs were dissolved in isotonic saline for either i.c.v. or i.p. administration.

##### Animals:

20 Male rats of both the Sprague-Dawley ( $200 \pm 40$  g) and the Dark Agouti ( $180 \pm 30$  g) strains were purchased from the Animal House, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland and from The University of Queensland Central Breeding Facility, respectively. For the duration of the experiments, rats were housed in  
25 a room with a 12 h/12 h light dark cycle at a temperature of  $21 \pm 2^\circ$  C and were given unlimited access to food and water.

##### Surgery:

The technique for stereotaxic insertion of an indwelling stainless steel guide cannula into the left lateral ventricle of the rat  
30 brain has been described previously (Smith *et al.*, 1990, Life Sci., 47, 579-585; Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, Life Sci., 54, 1229-1236). Sprague-Dawley rats were deeply anaesthetised with a mixture

of ketamine (100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and xylazine (16 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) administered intraperitoneally. The skull was exposed and a hole drilled 1.5 mm L and 0.8 mm P with respect to bregma. The stainless steel guide cannula (21 G with a 45° bevel) was inserted stereotaxically to 1 mm  
5 above the left lateral ventricle (3.2 mm V) and fixed in position with dental cement. The wound was sutured and a stainless steel plug was inserted into the guide cannula. Rats received vancomycin (50,000 IU i.p.) to prevent infection and were kept warm during recovery from anaesthesia. Following cannula insertion, rats were  
10 housed singly for a recovery period of 5-7 days prior to i.c.v. drug administration.

Dosage Regimens:

For i.c.v. experimentation, only Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were used. After recovery from surgery, the rats were lightly  
15 anaesthetised with a mixture of O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (50:50) and then either oxycodone, morphine or a combination of both opioids was administered via a single injection using a Hamilton 5 µL syringe. The ED<sub>50</sub> doses of oxycodone (78 nmol i.c.v.) and morphine (34 nmol i.c.v.) were reduced by approximately 50% to 40 nmol and 15 nmol  
20 respectively and administered to groups of SD rats in combination (n=12) and separately (n=4), by the i.c.v. route. This combination i.c.v. dose was further reduced to doses of 30 nmol oxycodone plus 10 nmol morphine (75% of the initial dose), and 20 nmol of oxycodone plus 7.5 nmol of morphine (50% of initial dose), and  
25 administered to additional groups of SD rats (n=4). Control rats (SD, n=4) received i.c.v. saline (1 µL).

Dark Agouti (DA) rats were chosen for the i.p. co-administration studies of oxycodone plus morphine, because they have been shown to have a limited ability to metabolise oxycodone to  
30 oxymorphone (the O-demethylated metabolite) compared with other rat strains (Cleary *et al.*, 1994, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 271, 1528-1534). This step was taken to minimise the *in vivo* production of

oxymorphone from oxycodone for two reasons, viz (i) oxymorphone has been reported to be present in very low concentrations ( $< 1$  ng/mL) in the plasma of humans dosed with oxycodone (Poyhia *et al.*, 1992, Br. J. Clin. Pharmac. **33**, 617-621; Ross *et al.*, 1993, The Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Pain, 533-534; Lacouture *et al.*, 1996, The Proceedings of the 8th World Congress on Pain, 286), making DA rats a better model of human oxycodone metabolism than other rat strains, and (ii) as oxymorphone is a potent  $\mu$ -opioid agonist with ten times the analgesic potency of morphine, its presence would potentially compromise our experiments.

DA rats were lightly anaesthetised with a 50:50 mixture of O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> and then administered either oxycodone or morphine or a combination of both drugs by a single i.p. injection, in groups of four for each dose. Rats were then placed in individual restraining cages and allowed to recover. Each rat received only one dose in any five day period to prevent potential acute opioid tolerance effects affecting the antinociceptive response. The minimum combined i.p. dose of oxycodone plus morphine producing a maximum antinociceptive response which persisted for the 3h observation period, was determined experimentally. Initially, DA rats received oxycodone (2.85  $\mu$ mol) plus morphine (3.11  $\mu$ mol), because these doses were considerably lower than the previously published systemic ED<sub>50</sub> values for these opioids in DA rats (Cleary *et al.*, 1994, *supra*). Thereafter, the doses of oxycodone and morphine were successively halved until the minimum combination i.p. dose that produced both maximum antinociception and an extended duration of action, was established.

Further groups of rats (n = 4) were administered individual i.p. doses of morphine or oxycodone. Control rats received saline (0.5 mL i.p.).

#### 30                    Antinociceptive Assessment:

The "Tail Flick Latency Test" (D'Amour, F. E. and Smith, D. L., 1941, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., **72**, 74-79) was used to

quantify the degree of antinociception achieved in rats following i.c.v. and i.p. administration of oxycodone and morphine either individually or in combination. A cut-off time of 9 s was electronically maintained to minimise tissue damage to the rat's tail. Pre-injection reaction times were typically 3 to 4.5 s and were the average of two readings taken approximately 5 minutes apart. Tail flick latency times were measured following i.c.v. administration of oxycodone and morphine either individually or in combination at the following times 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120 and 180 minutes. After completion of an i.c.v. experiment, correct cannula placement was visually checked following an injection of malachite green dye (1  $\mu$ L), decapitation and gross dissection of the brain.

#### Data Analysis:

Tail flick latency times were converted to "The Percentage of Maximum Possible Effect" (%MPE) according to the following formula:

$$\%MPE = \frac{(Postdrug\ latency) - (Predrug\ latency)}{(Maximum\ latency) - (Predrug\ latency)} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

%MPE values >50% were regarded as indicating significant antinociception.

#### Statistical Analysis:

Data were analysed for significant differences using the paired Wilcoxon test or the unpaired Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test, where appropriate. The statistical significance criterion was  $p < 0.05$ .

### RESULTS

Following co-administration of oxycodone and morphine (40 nmol plus 15 nmol i.c.v. respectively) to SD rats, maximum antinociception (100% MPE) was achieved at 15 min post dosing and by 3 h post-dosing levels of antinociception were still greater than 50% MPE (FIG. 1). Reducing this dose to 30 nmol plus 10 nmol of

oxycodone and morphine respectively, maximum antinociception was achieved but the duration of action was significantly shortened (90 min).

5 In comparison, when oxycodone (40 nmol i.c.v.) was administered alone, no antinociception was observed. In fact, most of the %MPE values were negative, indicating possible hyper-nociception at this dose (FIG. 1). Similarly when morphine (15 nmol i.c.v.) was administered alone, the levels of antinociception achieved were very low and the %MPE values did not exceed 20% at any time during the 10 3 h observation period (FIG. 1). The additive (summation of the independent antinociceptive effects) antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. oxycodone (40 nmol) plus morphine (15 nmol) were not significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) different from baseline %MPE values obtained in rats dosed with saline (1  $\mu$ L i.c.v.).

15 Following i.p. co-administration of morphine (3.11  $\mu$ mol) plus oxycodone (2.85  $\mu$ mol), 100% MPE values were achieved by 10 min post-dosing which did not decrease below this level for the duration of the experiment (180 min). Similarly, rats administered half this dose (1.42  $\mu$ mol oxycodone plus 1.55  $\mu$ mol morphine) also 20 achieved maximum antinociception which persisted throughout the 180 min observation period. Further reducing the combined i.p. dose to 571 nmol of oxycodone plus 621 nmol of morphine, resulted in 100% MPE values by 10 min post-dosing with the mean %MPE value falling to approximately 65% by 180 min post-dosing (FIG. 2). 25 However when the combination i.p. dose was reduced further still (285 nmol oxycodone plus 310 nmol morphine), 100% MPE was achieved only after 15-30 min post-dosing and the duration of action was significantly reduced to 90 min.

30 Rats that received individual doses of oxycodone (571 nmol) or morphine (621 nmol) did not achieve significant antinociception ( $> 50\%$  MPE) at any time post-dosing. When the antinociceptive effects of morphine (671 nmol) alone were summed

with the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone (571 nmol) alone, the additive antinociceptive effects in DA rats were never greater than 50% MPE, during the 180 min observation period.

Following administration of the synergistic combination of morphine plus oxycodone, neither group of rats (Sprague-Dawley i.c.v., and Dark Agouti i.p.) displayed any adverse behavioural effects, such as sedation, incontinence and catatonia, one or more of which have been reported following large doses of either opioid alone. In fact, rats receiving the i.p. synergistic combination (571 nmol oxycodone plus 621 nmol morphine) were behaviourally similar to control rats that received saline.

### DISCUSSION

The antinociceptive effects observed following individual intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) administration of the opioid agonists, morphine and oxycodone, to Sprague-Dawley rats have been well characterised in our laboratory using the Tail Flick Latency Test. The ED<sub>50</sub> values for i.c.v. morphine and oxycodone have been determined to be 34 nmol and 78 nmol respectively (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*). Our studies have now shown that co-administration of these two opioid agonists by the i.c.v. route in sub-antinociceptive doses (oxycodone 40 nmol plus morphine 15 nmol) results in unexpected antinociceptive synergy, characterised by an increase in the degree of antinociception from baseline values to 100% of the maximum possible effect. In addition, the duration of antinociception was also greatly increased, to over 180 min compared with 120 min and 90 min respectively for equipotent doses of morphine or oxycodone administered separately. A reduction in the combined dose of oxycodone plus morphine to 30 nmol and 10 nmol respectively, also produced maximum antinociception (100 %MPE), but with the duration of action shortened to 90 min.

Furthermore, antinociceptive synergy was also observed following co-administration of sub-analgesic doses of both drugs

(oxycodone 571 nmol plus morphine 621 nmol) by the intraperitoneal route to male Dark Agouti rats, which metabolise oxycodone to oxymorphone (a potent  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist) to a lesser extent than do other strains of rats. This synergy was characterised by a rapid onset of maximum antinociception (< 10 min) with an extended duration of action (> 180 min) compared with either drug administered individually. Compared with rats that received individual i.c.v. or i.p. doses of morphine or oxycodone, the magnitude of the synergistic analgesic effects was in a range of 5-20 fold.

Rats dosed with the synergistic combination of the two strong opioids, oxycodone and morphine, by both i.p. and i.c.v. routes displayed no observable adverse behavioural effects, such as catatonia, respiratory depression or marked sedation. Extrapolation of these findings in rats to humans, suggests that co-administration of sub-analgesic doses of two strong opioids such as morphine and oxycodone, contrary to the World Health Organisation's (WHO) guidelines for cancer pain relief (1986), will provide excellent analgesia, whilst minimising unacceptable side-effects.

#### EXAMPLE 2

*The Intrinsic Antinociceptive Effects of Oxycodone Appear to Be Kappa-opioid Receptor Mediated*

Oxycodone is a semi-synthetic opioid analgesic derived from the naturally occurring alkaloid, thebaine. In humans, oxycodone has been shown to have an analgesic potency 0.7 times that of morphine after systemic administration (Beaver *et al.*, 1978, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 207, 92-100; Kalso *et al.*, 1990, Pharmacol. Toxicol., 67, 322-328). Although oxycodone has been used clinically for over 75 years, little is known about the intrinsic pharmacology of this drug. Various, oxycodone has been thought to induce analgesia by a similar mechanism to morphine or it has been proposed to be a prodrug for an analgesically active metabolite such as oxymorphone (Beaver *et al.*, 1978, *supra*), its O-demethylated derivative.

Oxymorphone is a potent  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist with 10 times the potency of morphine (Beaver *et al.*, 1977, J. Clin. Pharmacol., 17, 186-198). Recently, however, the putative role of oxymorphone as an analgesically active metabolite of oxycodone has been questioned.

5 In human plasma and urine, levels of unconjugated oxymorphone have been reported to be undetectable ( $< 1 \text{ ngml}^{-1}$ ) after administration of oxycodone (Poyhia *et al.*, 1992, *supra*). In addition, Dark Agouti rats that are deficient in the enzymes required to O-demethylate benzomorphan opioids, achieved maximum antinociception following

10 subcutaneous administration of oxycodone (Cleary *et al.*, 1994, *supra*). Furthermore, when oxycodone was administered by the i.c.v. route to rats, preventing any form of hepatic metabolism, maximum antinociception was observed within 7 min of dosing ( $\text{ED}_{50} = 78 \text{ nmol}$ , c.f  $\text{ED}_{50} = 34 \text{ nmol}$  for morphine), indicating that oxycodone

15 itself has intrinsic antinociceptive properties (Leow K. P. and Smith M. T., 1994, *supra*). This antinociception was completely reversible by naloxone (55 nmol icv), indicating that the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone are mediated by opioid receptors (Leow K. P. and Smith M. T., 1994, *supra*).

20 Given that naloxone is a universal opioid receptor antagonist that does not effectively discriminate between the three major classes of opioid receptors,  $\mu$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\kappa$ , it is not possible to determine the specific class of opioid receptor mediating the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone unless more selective

25 antagonists are utilised. Naloxonazine (nalz) has been reported to be an irreversible  $\mu_1$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist provided it is administered 24 h prior to administration of the corresponding opioid receptor agonist. Nalz has been shown to antagonise the antinociceptive effects of both morphine and the  $\mu$ -selective opioid

30 peptide enkephalin-[D-Ala<sup>2</sup>,N-Phe<sup>4</sup>,Gly-ol<sup>5</sup>] (DAMGO) (Pasternak, G. and Wood, P., 1986, Life Sci., 38, 1889-1898). In contrast nalz did not reduce the antinociception observed following administration of



the  $\delta$ -selective opioid peptide agonist, enkephalin-[D-Pen<sup>2,5</sup>] (DPDPE) (Nishimura *et al.*, 1984, *Mol. Pharmacol.*, **25**, 29-37; Hahn *et al.*, 1982, *J. Neurosci.*, **2**, 572-576; Johnson, N. and Pasternak, G. W., 1984, *Mol. Pharmacol.*, **26**, 477-483). Naltrindole (NTI) has been reported to be a non-peptide  $\delta$ -opioid receptor antagonist with a 100-fold selectivity for  $\delta$ -opioid receptors relative to  $\mu$ -opioid receptors, and a 10,000-fold selectivity for  $\delta$ - relative to  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors (Portoghese *et al.*, 1988a, *J. Med. Chem.*, **31**, 281-282; Portoghese *et al.*, 1988b, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **146**, 185-186). Similarly, nor-binaltorphimine (nor-BNI) has been reported to be an irreversible  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonist that will antagonise the antinociceptive effects of both benzacetamide (U69,593) and benzomorphan (bremazocine)  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor agonists (Takemori *et al.*, 1988, *supra*; Horan *et al.*, 1991, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, **257**, 1154-1161).

Therefore the aim of this set of experiments was to determine the major class of opioid receptors mediating the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone following i.c.v. administration to rats, by i.c.v. administration of selective opioid receptor antagonists.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Drugs*

Oxycodone hydrochloride was a generous gift from The Boots Company (Australia) Pty Ltd (Sydney, Australia). Morphine hydrochloride was purchased from the Pharmacy Department, Royal Brisbane Hospital (Brisbane, Australia). Naloxonazine, naltrindole, nor-binaltorphimine, (5 $\alpha$ ,7 $\alpha$ ,8 $\beta$ )-(+) -N-methyl-N-[7-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-1-oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-yl]-benzeneacetamide (U69,593), trans-( $\pm$ )-3,4-dichloro-N-methyl-N-[2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)-cyclohexyl]-benzeneacetamide methanesulfonate (U50,488H), bremazocine and DPDPE were purchased from Research Biochemicals Inc (Sydney, Australia). Xylazine and ketamine were purchased from Bayer (Sydney, Australia) and Marlab (Brisbane, Australia) respectively.

### *Animals*

Ethical approval for this set of experiments was obtained from the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of The University of Queensland. Male Sprague-Dawley rats ( $200 \pm 40$  g) were purchased from the Faculty of Medicine Animal Breeding Facility, The University of Queensland. Rats were housed in a temperature controlled environment ( $20 \pm 2^\circ$  C) with a 12h/12h light/dark cycle and free access to both food and water.

### *Surgery*

Surgery was performed as described in Example 1.

### *Dosage Regimens*

I.c.v. injections were made using a 5  $\mu$ L Hamilton syringe with a 25 gauge needle under light anaesthesia (50% O<sub>2</sub>/50%CO<sub>2</sub>) and all drugs were dissolved in isotonic saline. All rats were tested for correct cannula placement 5-7 days after surgery by injecting a single dose of oxycodone (200 nmol icv) and measuring the tail flick latency for the first 10 min post-injection. Rats that displayed no antinociception were omitted from the study as previous experience had shown that a lack of antinociception was due to incorrect cannula placement. Groups of rats for each selective opioid antagonist included in the study were give a further 2-3 days recovery period. These received i.c.v. administration of the appropriate selective opioid antagonist followed by oxycodone (200 nmol).

Additional groups of rats received the selective opioid antagonist followed by the corresponding selective opioid agonist (positive controls) or saline (1  $\mu$ L i.c.v.) (n=4). Nalz (1.0 nmol) (n=4) and nor-BNI (0.3 nmol) (n=8) were injected 24 h prior to i.c.v. administration of the opioid agonists to ensure that only the irreversible opioid antagonist effects were being studied (Clark *et al.*, 1988, Mol. Pharmacol., 34, 308-317). In contrast the competitive  $\delta$ -opioid antagonist naltrindole (1 nmol, i.c.v.) (n=4), was administered only 15 min prior to i.c.v. administration of opioid agonists or saline.

*Antinociceptive Assessment*

The Tail Flick Latency Test (D'Amour, F. E. and Smith, D. L., 1941, *supra*) described in Example 1 was used to quantify the degree of antinociception achieved in rats following i.c.v. administration of opioid agonists or saline.

*Data Analysis*

Tail Flick Latency times were converted to The Percentage of Maximum Possible Effect (%MPE) according to the formula described in Example 1.

*Statistical Analysis*

Data were analysed for significant differences as described in Example 1.

RESULTS

The antinociception observed following i.c.v. oxycodone (200 nmol) administration reached peak values of 100% MPE at 5 min post-dosing, thereafter decreasing in a monoexponential manner reaching baseline values by 90 min post-dosing (FIG. 3A). In contrast, the antinociceptive effects observed in control rats that received i.c.v. saline (1  $\mu$ L) were not significantly different from baseline values ( $p > 0.05$ ) throughout the 3 h study period (data not shown).

Administration of the irreversible  $\mu_1$ -opioid receptor antagonist, naloxonazine (1 nmol i.c.v.) 24 h prior to administration of oxycodone (200 nmol i.c.v.) had a minor effect on the observed antinociception. The duration of action of oxycodone was shortened from 90 min to 60 min but the magnitude of antinociception did not appear to be significantly reduced during the first 15 min (FIG. 4A), when compared with rats receiving oxycodone (200 nmol i.c.v.) alone (FIG. 3A). However, naloxonazine completely attenuated the antinociceptive effects of morphine (78 nmol, i.c.v.) administered to the same rats 24 h later (FIG. 4B). In contrast, this same dose of morphine administered alone to rats by the i.c.v. route produced maximum antinociception which decreased in a biphasic manner over

the 3 h study period (FIG. 3B).

It was also noted that the %MPE values observed in control rats that received nalz (1 nmol i.c.v.) followed 24 h later by saline (1  $\mu$ L) were 5-10% lower than those of untreated rats indicating that nalz may have been interfering with the normal functioning of the endogenous opioidergic receptor system. Rats treated with nalz displayed minor excitatory behaviour (shaking and teeth chattering) immediately after dosing, and 24 h later they appeared to be more sensitive to external stimuli (touch and sound) than untreated rats.

Administration of the competitive  $\delta$ -opioid receptor antagonist, naltrindole (2.2 nmol i.c.v.), 15 minutes prior to oxycodone (200 nmol i.c.v.) administration, did not significantly attenuate ( $p > 0.05$ ) the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone (FIG. 5B) when compared to the control experiment (FIG. 5A). However, naltrindole significantly attenuated ( $p < 0.05$ ) the antinociceptive effects of the selective  $\delta$ -opioid receptor agonist DPDPE (45 nmol) (FIG. 5B) in comparison with the control experiment (FIG. 5A). Rats that received naltrindole (1 nmol i.c.v.) followed 15 min later by saline (1  $\mu$ L) exhibited %MPE values that were not significantly different ( $p > 0.05$ ) from pre-dosing baseline values.

In contrast, i.c.v. administration of the  $\kappa$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist nor-BNI (0.3 nmol), 24 h prior to the i.c.v. administration of oxycodone (200 nmol), U69,593 (133 nmol) or bremazocine (57 nmol), resulted in complete attenuation of the antinociceptive effects of each of these compounds (FIG. 6A-C respectively). Importantly, however, the antinociceptive effects of morphine (78 nmol i.c.v.) were not attenuated (FIG. 6D).

Behaviourally, rats that received oxycodone (200 nmol i.c.v.) did not exhibit any signs of spontaneous bladder emptying or incontinence in contrast to rats that received i.c.v. morphine (78 nmol), nor did they exhibit the catatonic behaviour observed in rats which had received oxycodone systemically (Poyhia, R. and Kalso, E.,

1992, 70, 125-130; Cleary *et al.*, 1994, *supra*).

### DISCUSSION

Our previous studies (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*) have shown that administration of oxycodone or morphine by the i.c.v. route produces naloxone-reversible antinociception. However, the degree of antinociception versus time profiles for the two opioid drugs are very different (Leow K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*). Oxycodone has a much faster onset of maximum antinociception (5-7 min) compared with the 30-45 min required for morphine. The antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. morphine are biphasic in nature with the initial phase being due to activation of supraspinal  $\mu$ -opioid receptors and the second phase (onset = 90 min post dosing) probably resulting from caudal redistribution of morphine, activating spinal  $\mu$ -opioid receptors (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*).

In contrast, oxycodone shows only a single phase of antinociception after i.c.v. administration which is essentially complete by 90 min post-dosing (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*). This is not surprising as the potency of oxycodone administered by the intrathecal (i.t.) route has been reported to be only 0.09 times that of i.t. morphine (Yaksh, T. L. and Hartey, G. J., 1987, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 244, 501-507; Poyhia, R. and Kalso, E., 1991, *supra*), indicating that oxycodone has poor affinity for spinal opioid receptors. Thus, redistribution of oxycodone from supraspinal sites at the time of the i.c.v. injection to the spinal region by 90 min post-injection would result in a reduction of antinociception to baseline values at this time. Furthermore, studies using [ $^3$ H]-DAMGO have shown that the affinity of oxycodone for the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor is low compared with that of morphine (Chen *et al.*, 1991, Life Sci., 48, 2165-2171). Thus for all of the above reasons, it is unlikely that oxycodone elicits its antinociceptive actions through the

same class of opioid receptors as morphine.

This notion is further supported by (i) the report of Pasternak and Wood (1986) that  $\mu_1$ -opioid receptors mediate the antinociception observed following i.c.v. morphine administration and  
5 (ii) our observation that the  $\mu_1$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist, naloxonazine, attenuated the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. morphine but had almost no effect on the antinociception observed following i.c.v. oxycodone administration. In addition, our studies have shown that i.c.v. administration of the  $\delta$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist,  
10 naltrindole, also failed to attenuate the antinociceptive effects of icv oxycodone. Taken together, these results indicate that the intrinsic antinociceptive effects of oxycodone are not mediated by either  $\mu_1$  or  $\delta$ -opioid receptors.

In contrast, when the irreversible  $\kappa$ -selective opioid  
15 antagonist, nor-BNI was administered by the i.c.v. route in a dose of 0.3 nmol, 24 hours prior to icv administration of oxycodone or the  $\kappa$ -opioid agonists U69,593 (133 nmol i.c.v.) and bremazocine (57 nmol i.c.v.), the antinociceptive effects of all three compounds were markedly attenuated. However, i.c.v. administration of nor-BNI (0.3  
20 nmol i.c.v.) 24h prior to morphine (78 nmol i.c.v.) had no effect on morphine's antinociceptive response (FIG. 6D). These results strongly suggest the involvement of  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors in the intrinsic antinociceptive effects of oxycodone. This conclusion is further supported by the observation that i.c.v. administration of known  $\kappa$ -  
25 opioid agonists of both the benzacetamide (U50,488H and U69,593, Leighton *et al.*, 1988, Br. J. Pharmacol., **93**, 553-560) and the benzomorphan classes (bremazocine and ethylketazocine, Horan *et al.*, 1991, *supra*) induce antinociception characterised by a rapid onset of action and a single antinociceptive phase in a manner analogous to  
30 that observed following icv oxycodone dosing.

In summary, the studies described in Example 2 strongly

suggest that the intrinsic antinociceptive effects of oxycodone are mediated by  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors, in contrast to morphine which interacts primarily with  $\mu$ -opioid receptors. However, given that at least three  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor subtypes have been pharmacologically defined (Von Voightlander *et al.*, 1983, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 224, 525-530; Nock *et al.*, 1988, Life Sci., 42, 2403-2412; Clark *et al.*, 1989, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 251, 461-468), further studies were required to determine which of these subtypes mediate the antinociceptive effects of oxycodone which are described hereinafter.

### EXAMPLE 3

Following intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) administration of oxycodone to adult male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats, oxycodone elicits naloxone-reversible (*i.e.*, opioid receptor-mediated), intrinsic pain-relieving (antinociceptive) effects with a potency equal to approximately half (44%) that of morphine administered by the same route (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*). The studies described in Example 2, involving the i.c.v. administration of selective  $\mu$ -  $\delta$ - and  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonists, have shown that the intrinsic antinociceptive effects of oxycodone are completely attenuated by i.c.v. administration of the selective  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonist, nor-binaltorphimine (nor-BNI), in doses that did not attenuate the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. morphine ( $\mu$ -opioid agonist). Additionally, the  $\mu_1$ -opioid receptor antagonist, naloxonazine and the  $\delta$ -opioid receptor antagonist, naltrindole, did not attenuate the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. oxycodone in doses that completely attenuated the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. morphine ( $\mu$ -opioid agonist) and i.c.v. DPDPE ( $\delta$ -opioid agonist) respectively (Ross, F. B. and Smith, M. T., 1996a, in press). Taken together these results indicate that oxycodone elicits its intrinsic pain-relieving effects through  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors. Although there are 3 major subtypes of  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors in the CNS, viz.  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$ , (Clark *et al.* 1989,

*supra*; Lai *et al.* 1994, *Neuroreport*, 5, 2161-2164; Ni *et al.* 1995, *Peptides*, 16, 1083-1095), nor-BNI reportedly binds with high affinity to only  $\kappa_1$ - and  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors (Takemori *et al.*, 1988, *supra*; Ni *et al.*, 1993, *Peptides*, 14, 1279-1293), suggesting that oxycodone elicits its intrinsic pain-relieving properties through  $\kappa_1$ - and/or  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors. Therefore this set of experiments was designed to further investigate the class(es) and subtypes of opioid receptors mediating oxycodone's intrinsic antinociceptive effects by using *in vitro* brain homogenate binding techniques. Specifically this study was designed (i) to characterize the opioid receptor binding profiles of oxycodone against the selective  $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ - and  $\kappa_1$ -radioligands ( $[^3\text{H}]$ morphine,  $[^3\text{H}]$ DPDPE,  $[^3\text{H}]$ U69,593 respectively) relative to the binding profiles of the respective unlabelled ligands, morphine, DPDPE, bremazocine, and (ii) to compare the binding profiles of oxycodone with the results of our studies described in Example 2.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Materials*

$[^3\text{H}]$ Morphine (84.5 Ci/mmol),  $[^3\text{H}]$ U69,593 (47.4 Ci/mmol) and  $[^3\text{H}]$ DPDPE-Cl ([2,5-D-Penicillamine, 4-p-Cl-phenylalanine]enkephalin) (48.6 Ci/mmol) were purchased from New England Nuclear Corporation (Boston, USA). Naloxone hydrochloride, DPDPE, 2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N-2-ethane sulphonic acid (HEPES), Tris.HCl and bremazocine hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sydney, Australia). Oxycodone hydrochloride was a generous gift from Boots Australia Pty Ltd (Sydney, Australia). Morphine hydrochloride was purchased from the Royal Brisbane Hospital Pharmacy. Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (200 g) were purchased from the Faculty of Medicine Animal House, The University of Queensland. Adult male albino guinea-pigs were obtained from the Central Animal Breeding Facility of The University of Queensland. Ethical approval for these experiments was obtained from the Animal



Experimentation Ethics Committee of The University of Queensland.

### *Methods*

#### *Brain Membrane Preparation*

Following decapitation, rat or guinea-pig brains were removed and placed in ice-cold sucrose solution (0.32 M), frozen at -20°C for 24 h and then at -80°C until use. After thawing, brain tissue was homogenized for 1 min in ice-cold (4°C) HEPES-tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4) in a volume of 10 mL/g wet weight of tissue. Tissue homogenate was then centrifuged (40,000 g for 45 min), the supernatant decanted and the membranes resuspended in HEPES-tris buffer prior to a 45 min incubation at 37°C to remove endogenous opioid peptides. Membranes were then centrifuged twice and resuspended in 10 mL of buffer per g wet weight of original tissue and stored at -80°C until required. Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Lowry *et al* (1951).

#### *Radioligand Binding Assays*

Ligand binding assays for each of the major classes of opioid receptors ( $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ - and  $\kappa_1$ ) were performed using rat brain homogenate preparation and guinea-pig brain homogenate in the case of  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptor binding. Aliquots (0.1 mL) of resuspended membrane preparation were added to tubes containing 0.05 mL of the radioligand of interest, 0.05 mL of unlabelled ligand of interest, and 0.3 mL of HEPES-tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4). Samples were incubated in triplicate for 1 h with one of the following radioligands: [<sup>3</sup>H]morphine, [<sup>3</sup>H]DPDPE-Cl or [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593 and a range of concentrations (100 pM - 100  $\mu$ M) of the appropriate unlabelled ligand (morphine, DPDPE, bremazocine, oxycodone). Sample incubations were stopped by filtration using Whatman GF/B glass fibre filters presoaked in 1% polyethyleneimine, using a Brandell Cell Harvester, and washed three times with 1 mL aliquots of ice-cold HEPES-tris

buffer (50 mM, pH 7.4). Binding assays were performed at room temperature (25°C) except when [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593 was used as the radioligand when the incubation temperature was 37°C. The filter papers with retained radioligand were placed in scintillation fluid (4 mL) in scintillation vials for 12 h prior to liquid scintillation spectrophotometry using a Packard scintillation counter (Tricarb 2700 TR) with quenching correction facilities. These experiments were repeated using three different rat and/or guinea-pig brain tissue homogenate preparations.

## RESULTS

The binding affinity of oxycodone for each of the major classes of opioid receptors ( $\mu$ -,  $\delta$ - and  $\kappa_1$ ) was determined using selective opioid receptor binding assays in brain tissue homogenate preparations as described above. Oxycodone displaced [<sup>3</sup>H]morphine ( $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonist) with low affinity ( $K_i$  = 349 nM, FIG. 7) whereas unlabelled morphine itself displaced [<sup>3</sup>H]morphine with a high affinity ( $K_D$  = 1.1 nM) similar to values reported in the literature (Raynor *et al.*, 1994, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., **45**, 330-334). However the Hill coefficient for the inhibition binding curve of oxycodone against [<sup>3</sup>H]morphine was low (0.72), indicating that oxycodone does not bind to the high affinity morphine binding site.

In  $\delta$ -opioid receptor binding assays, oxycodone (in concentrations less than 1  $\mu$ M) was unable to displace the selective  $\delta$ -opioid receptor ligand, [<sup>3</sup>H]DPDPE-Cl (FIG. 8), whereas unlabelled DPDPE displaced [<sup>3</sup>H]DPDPE with high affinity ( $K_D$  = 1.4 nM), in agreement with values reported in the literature (Raynor *et al.*, 1994, Mol-Pharmacol., **45**, 330-334).

Similarly oxycodone did not displace [<sup>3</sup>H]U69,593 (selective  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptor ligand) in rat brain membrane preparations to any significant extent ( $K_i$  > 100  $\mu$ M), but the ratio of total binding

to non-specific binding (NSB) was relatively poor (typically 2:1) due to the low expression of  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors in rat brain. Therefore additional experiments were performed in guinea-pig brain (a tissue in which  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors are highly expressed such that the ratio of total binding to NSB is 10:1) homogenate to further investigate whether oxycodone binds significantly to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors. Again, oxycodone was unable to displace [ $^3$ H]U69,593 at concentrations less than 100  $\mu$ M (FIG. 9), indicating that oxycodone does not bind significantly to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors. Bremazocine, a universal opioid receptor ligand ( $\mu$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\kappa_1$ - and  $\kappa_2$ -ligands) served as the positive control in that it displaced [ $^3$ H]U69,593 with a similar affinity ( $K_i$  = 0.4 nM) to that reported in the literature (Rothman *et al.*, 1990, Peptides, 11, 311-331).

### DISCUSSION

The results of our binding experiments presented herein support the findings of our whole animal experiments, described in Example 2, that showed that oxycodone does not elicit its intrinsic antinociceptive effects by interacting with the  $\mu$ -opioid receptor system.

These whole animal experiments also showed that the selective  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonist, nor-BNI completely attenuated the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. oxycodone, whilst having no effect on the antinociceptive effects of i.c.v. morphine. Currently, 3 major subtypes of  $\kappa$ -opioid receptors have been identified, viz,  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$  with nor-BNI binding to only the  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  subtypes (Takemori *et al.*, 1988, *supra*; Ni *et al.*, 1996, *supra*). As oxycodone did not displace [ $^3$ H]U69,593 (selective  $\kappa_1$ -agonist) from either rat or guinea-pig brain homogenate to any significant extent ( $K_i$  > 100  $\mu$ M), these experiments indicate that oxycodone does not bind to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors and thus  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors do not mediate oxycodone's intrinsic antinociceptive effects. Thus, when the results of our whole

animals experiments (Example 2) are taken together with those of our binding experiments, they strongly suggest that oxycodone is a selective  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptor agonist. Further evidence supporting this conclusion is obtained from our observations that (i) the potency and  
5 (ii) the antinociceptive profile of i.c.v. oxycodone more closely resemble the respective attributes of i.c.v. administered bremazocine (primarily elicits its antinociceptive effects through  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors) than i.c.v. administered U69,593 (selective  $\kappa_1$ -opioid agonist) (Example 2).

10 Additional studies in the literature (Ni *et al.*, 1993, 1995, *supra*) indicate that the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptor itself comprises 4 discrete subtypes, viz  $\kappa_{2a-1}$ ,  $\kappa_{2a-2}$ ,  $\kappa_{2b-1}$  and  $\kappa_{2b-2}$  and that the selective  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonist, nor-BNI, binds with high affinity ( $K_i = 5.9$  nM) to only the  $\kappa_{2a-2}$  subtype in addition to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors (Ni *et al.*,  
15 1993, *supra*). As we have now shown that oxycodone does not bind to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors to any appreciable extent, these results suggest that oxycodone mediates its pain-relieving effects by binding to the  $\kappa_{2a-2}$  subtype of  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors. However, as there are no specific ligands for the  $\kappa_{2a-2}$  opioid receptor currently available, it is not  
20 possible as yet to directly determine oxycodone's binding affinity for this subtype of the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptor.

#### EXAMPLE 4

##### *Investigation of the Antinociceptive Effects of A Range of Subanalgesic Dosing Combinations of Morphine and Oxycodone Following Subcutaneous Administration to Dark Agouti Rats*

5 The studies described in Example 1 showed that co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine and oxycodone by both the intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) route to Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats and by the intraperitoneal (i.p.) route to Dark Agouti (DA) rats elicits marked antinociceptive synergy characterized by a significant

increase in both the extent and duration of antinociception when compared with the expected levels of antinociception had only additive antinociceptive effects been achieved. Opioids are not administered by the i.p. route to humans. Rather, the subcutaneous (s.c.) route is the preferred systemic route of opioid drug administration for patients that have difficulty swallowing or that have intractable nausea and vomiting. Therefore, this study was designed to determine (i) the individual doses of morphine or oxycodone administered by the s.c. route to Dark Agouti rats that evoked half-maximal antinociception (the ED<sub>50</sub> doses) (ii) the ED<sub>50</sub> doses for a range of s.c. dosing combinations of morphine and oxycodone, (iii) the optimal s.c. dosing combination of morphine plus oxycodone to produce antinociceptive synergy in DA rats and (iv) the magnitude of the synergistic effect produced by the optimum s.c. dosing combination of morphine plus oxycodone to DA rats.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Materials*

Oxycodone hydrochloride was a generous gift from Boots Australia Pty Ltd (Sydney, Australia). Morphine hydrochloride was purchased from Royal Brisbane Hospital Pharmacy (Brisbane, Australia). Medical grade CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were purchased from BOC Gases Australia Ltd (Brisbane, Australia). Tail flick latencies were measured using a Columbus Instruments Tail Flick Analgesia Meter (Columbus Instruments, Ohio, USA).

### *Animals*

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of The University of Queensland. Adult male Dark Agouti rats (7-8 weeks of age) were obtained from the Central Animal Breeding House, The University of Queensland. Rats were housed at 21°C with 12h/12 h light/dark

cycle and with food and water available *ad libitum*. At the time of experimentation, rats weighed  $209 \pm 20$  g (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 218$ ).

#### *Tail Flick Experimental Procedure*

Baseline tail flick latencies (Predrug latencies) were the mean of at least three measurements taken approximately 5 min apart, prior to dosing. Rats were then lightly anaesthetised using a (50:50) mixture of CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> and then 200  $\mu$ L of drug solution was injected subcutaneously at the base of neck using a 250  $\mu$ L glass Hamilton syringe. Tail flick latencies were measured at 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 min following s.c. injection. The maximum tail flick latency was restricted to 9.0 s to minimise tail tissue damage. The righting reflex, landing reflex and eye reflex of the rat (Poyhia R. and Kalso E., 1992, *supra*) were tested immediately following the 30, 60 and 120 min tail flick latency measurements.

#### *Drug Dosing*

This study was separated into two experimental Cohorts. Rats in Cohort One received s.c. injections of either morphine, oxycodone or vehicle (normal saline) in order to determine the ED<sub>50</sub> doses for single s.c. injections of morphine or oxycodone. Rats in Cohort Two received s.c. injections of either normal saline (controls), or a combination of morphine and oxycodone in three dosing ratios (morphine:oxycodone), viz. 25:75, 50:50, or 75:25 relative to the ED<sub>50</sub> doses of single injections of either morphine or oxycodone, determined in Cohort One experiments.

Doses of morphine and oxycodone, alone and in combination, or vehicle (normal saline) were prepared in sterile saline for a total injection volume of 200  $\mu$ L (Table 1). Eight replicate solutions of each of morphine and/or oxycodone doses were prepared. Following preparation, drug dosing solutions were coded by a member of the research laboratory who was not involved in this study, such

that all doses were administered to DA rats in a randomised and double-blind manner. Samples were stored at -20°C, and thawed prior to administration.

#### *Data Analysis*

5                      Raw tail flick latencies were converted to the percentage maximum possible effect (%MPE) by the equation shown in Example 1.

                        For each drug solution administered, the area under the %MPE versus time curve (AUC) was calculated using the trapezoidal rule, with any negative %MPE values arbitrarily assigned a value of zero. The mean ( $\pm$  SEM) percentage maximum AUC (% Max. AUC) for each morphine and/or oxycodone dose was calculated by expressing the mean of the eight AUC values as a percentage of the maximum achievable AUC. The mean ( $\pm$  SEM) % Max. AUC for each morphine and/or oxycodone dose was plotted versus the respective drug dose to produce the individual Dose-Response curves. The Richards' sigmoidal algorithm (Curve Expert®, Microsoft) was fitted to the Dose-Response curve, and the ED<sub>50</sub> dose (mean  $\pm$  SEM) for each morphine and/or oxycodone dosing combination was determined by interpolation as the dose corresponding to % Max. AUC = 50%.

#### *Statistical Analysis*

                        Data were analysed for significant differences using the unpaired Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test. The statistical significance criterion was  $p < 0.05$ .

25

#### RESULTS

*Cohort One: s.c. injection of single doses of either morphine or oxycodone*

                        The mean AUC for control rats (n=8) that received injections of vehicle (normal saline) was very small (0.2% of the

maximum AUC achievable), indicating that no significant antinociception resulted from either the injection procedure itself or the tail flick testing procedure. The mean ( $\pm$  SEM) % Max. AUC achieved following single s.c. injections of morphine or oxycodone are presented in Table 2. The mean ( $\pm$  SEM) ED<sub>50</sub> doses for single s.c. injections of either morphine or oxycodone determined from the Dose-Response curves (FIG. 10) were 1.8 ( $\pm$  0.2) mg and 0.44 ( $\pm$  0.04) mg, respectively. A plot of the mean ( $\pm$  SEM) %MPE versus time curve following s.c. administration of approximately equipotent doses of morphine and oxycodone is shown in FIGS. 11 and 12. It is readily apparent that although equipotent doses of morphine and oxycodone were administered, the time of onset of antinociception (defined here as %MPE  $\geq$  30%) and the time to achieve maximum antinociception (T<sub>max</sub>) are more rapid for oxycodone (12 min and 20 min, respectively) than for morphine (22 min and 45 min, respectively).

Behaviourally, rats that received single s.c. injections of either morphine or oxycodone in doses that were greater than the ED<sub>50</sub> doses, were markedly sedated compared with control rats that received s.c. injections of normal saline. When doses approximating the ED<sub>50</sub> dose of s.c. morphine (1.8 mg) or oxycodone (0.4 mg) were administered, the rats failed the eye reflex test approximately 40% of the time, but there was no loss of the righting or landing reflexes. At higher s.c. doses of morphine (4.0 mg) or oxycodone (1.5 mg) rats failed the righting reflex test approximately 33% and 50% of the time, respectively. There was no loss of the landing reflex following s.c. administration of any of the single doses of morphine or oxycodone investigated.

*Cohort Two: s.c. injection of combined doses of morphine and oxycodone*

Control rats in Cohort 2 achieved levels of antinociception such that the mean AUC values achieved was small



(7.4% of the maximum AUC achievable). The % Max. AUC values for each morphine:oxycodone dosing combination are presented in Table 3. The Dose-Response curves for these ratios, shown in FIGS. 13 and 14, give the following mean ( $\pm$  SEM)  $ED_{50}$  doses for each of the morphine:oxycodone dosing combinations investigated, viz. 0.15 ( $\pm$  0.10) mg : 0.110 ( $\pm$  0.008) mg, 0.46 ( $\pm$  0.07) mg : 0.115 ( $\pm$  0.004) mg and 0.55 ( $\pm$  0.05) mg : 0.049 ( $\pm$  0.0025) mg, for the 25:75, 50:50 and 75:25 dosing ratios, respectively (Table 4). The time of onset of antinociception and the time to achieve maximum antinociception ( $T_{max}$ ) for each of the dosing combinations are shown in Table 5 and FIGS. 11 and 12. These data clearly show that the dosing combination comprising morphine:oxycodone in the ratio 25:75 produced the fastest onset (5 min), while the 50:50 ratio and the oxycodone administered alone had the shortest time required to achieve maximum antinociception ( $T_{max}$  = 20 min).

Examination of the isobologram (FIG. 15) shows that antinociceptive synergy was obtained following s.c. injection of combined doses of morphine plus oxycodone as the  $ED_{50}$  doses determined experimentally for each of the morphine:oxycodone dosing combinations examined were significantly less ( $p < 0.05$ ) than the respective values expected if only additive antinociceptive effects had been observed (shown in the isobologram (FIG. 15) as a dotted straight line joining the  $ED_{50}$  doses of s.c. injections of morphine or oxycodone alone). It is also readily apparent from the isobologram (FIG. 15) that in terms of maximum synergistic effect achieved for the lowest overall dose of opioid administered and the shortest time required to achieve onset of antinociception, the optimum morphine:oxycodone dosing combination is that comprising 25:75 of the  $ED_{50}$  doses of morphine plus oxycodone. This optimum combination of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone represented a 12-fold reduction in the morphine dose relative to a single s.c. injection of morphine alone and a 4-fold reduction in the

oxycodone dose relative to a single s.c. injection of oxycodone alone that would be required to achieve similar levels of antinociception in DA rats.

In contrast to rats in Cohort One, some rats in Cohort Two that received combination doses of morphine plus oxycodone such that a maximal degree of antiception was observed were behaviourally indistinguishable from control rats that received s.c. injections of normal saline, in that there were no apparent signs of sedation, respiratory depression or any other adverse opioid side-effects. Additionally, rats in Cohort Two were similar to rats in Cohort One in that there was no loss of the landing reflex following s.c. administration of any of the dosing combinations investigated. However, in contrast to rats in Cohort One, rats in Cohort Two did not lose their righting reflex even after administration of the highest combination doses of morphine plus oxycodone such that maximum antinociception was achieved for the majority of the 2 h study period. When doses approximating the ED<sub>50</sub> values for each of the morphine:oxycodone combinations were administered, there was no significant loss of the eye reflex for rats receiving the 75:25 dosing ratio (0.6:0.049 mg), whilst the rats receiving the 50:50 dosing ratio (0.4:0.1 mg) had a similar incidence of eye reflex loss to rats in Cohort One. Rats that received the 25:75 dosing ratio (0.15, 0.11 mg) had a lower incidence of eye reflex loss than found for rats in Cohort One.

## 25                    DISCUSSION

Studies described in Example 1 have shown that marked antinociceptive synergy (assessed using the tail flick latency test) is produced following i.c.v. co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone to SD rats (Ross F. B. & Smith M. T., 1996b, in press). However, as adult male SD rats avidly metabolize systemically administered oxycodone to its potent analgesically active,

O-demethylated metabolite, oxymorphone ( $\approx$  10-fold more potent than morphine) and humans do not (Ross *et al.*, 1993, *supra*; Lacouture *et al.*, 1996, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., **266**, 926-933), it was essential that our subsequent studies investigating whether  
5 antinociceptive synergy occurred following systemic co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone were performed in an animal model where O-demethylation of oxycodone to oxymorphone occurred to a low extent (Cleary *et al.*, 1994, *supra*), in a manner similar to humans (Ross *et al.*, 1993, *supra*; Lacouture *et al.*, 1996, *supra*). Hence, DA rats were chosen for these studies as they are genetically deficient in the enzyme required to O-demethylate oxycodone to oxymorphone (Cleary *et al.*, 1994, *supra*). When  
10 subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone were systemically co-administered to DA rats by the i.p. route, marked antinociceptive synergy was observed (see Example 1). However, in humans, the s.c. route rather than the i.p. route is the preferred systemic route of opioid drug administration for patients that have difficulty swallowing or that have intractable nausea and vomiting. Therefore, the marked antinociceptive synergy observed in the studies described herein (FIG.  
15 15) where DA rats received s.c. co-administration of three different subanalgesic dosing combinations of morphine plus oxycodone are very exciting in terms of their potential applicability to the enhanced management of moderate to severe pain in humans.

Close examination of the isobologram (FIG. 15) reveals  
25 that the optimum dosing combination comprised a 12-fold reduction in the morphine dose and a 4-fold reduction in the oxycodone dose compared with the s.c. doses of morphine plus oxycodone that would have been required to produce similar levels of antinociception had only additive antinociception occurred. Importantly, the marked  
30 antinociceptive synergy observed in our studies following s.c. co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone was not due to motor deficits as rats did not lose their righting or landing

reflexes even when the highest combined s.c. doses were administered. When this finding is combined with the additional observation that the incidence of sedation was reduced in these rats compared with rats receiving equipotent single s.c. doses of either morphine or oxycodone, our results indicate that it may be possible to achieve profound analgesia in humans with a reduced incidence of undesirable opioid side-effects (sedation, respiratory depression) by co-administering appropriate subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone.

10 In their guidelines for the relief of cancer pain, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that cancer pain be managed by administering the drugs recommended on each rung of the analgesic ladder and that strong opioids such as morphine and oxycodone, should not be co-administered (WHO, 1986, *supra*).  
15 However, our current studies strongly suggest that co-administration of sub-analgesic doses of the strong opioids, morphine and oxycodone, may be beneficial in that it may allow patients to have very good analgesia whilst decreasing the incidence of unpleasant opioid side-effects. Our findings of antinociceptive synergy between  
20 oxycodone and morphine also conflict with the statement commonly found in the literature (Mather, L. E., 1995, Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol., 22, 833-836) that all clinically used opioid drugs elicit their pain-relieving effects through the same receptor mechanism as morphine. However, if this statement were true, we would have  
25 observed additive and not synergistic levels of antinociception, particularly following i.c.v. co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone where the intrinsic effects of the drugs administered would have determined the absolute levels of antinociception observed.

30 Importantly, our previously published studies (Leow, K. P. and Smith, M. T., 1994, *supra*) showed that oxycodone is an

opioid agonist in that its intrinsic antinociceptive effects were completely attenuated by i.c.v. administration of the nonselective opioid antagonist, naloxone. Studies described herein (Example 2) involving i.c.v. administration of the selective  $\mu_1$ -,  $\delta$ - and  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor antagonists, naloxonazine, naltrindole and nor-binaltorphimine (nor-BNI), respectively, prior to the i.c.v. administration of oxycodone have shown that oxycodone's antinociceptive effects were attenuated only by nor-BNI, indicating that oxycodone appears to be a selective  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor agonist. Although there are three major subtypes of  $\kappa$ -opioid receptor, viz.  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$ , nor-BNI has been reported to bind only to  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors (Takemori *et al.*, 1988, *supra*; Ni *et al.*, 1996, *supra*) but not  $\kappa_3$ -opioid receptors (Koch *et al.*, 1992, Brain Res., 581, 311-314). Combining this information with the results of our recent brain homogenate binding studies (Example 3 herein) that showed that oxycodone does not bind appreciably ( $K_i > 100 \mu\text{M}$ ) to  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptors (Ross F. B. and Smith M. T., 1996c, in press) suggests that oxycodone's intrinsic antinociceptive effects are mediated through  $\kappa_2$ -opioid receptors. Thus, it is highly likely that the antinociceptive synergy observed following co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone is mediated through a mechanism involving a  $\mu$ - $\kappa_2$  synergistic interaction of opioid receptors in the CNS. Given that it has been reported previously that antinociceptive synergy may be produced following supraspinal administration of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist such as morphine together with intrathecal administration of a  $\kappa_1$ -opioid agonist such as U50,488H (Sutters *et al.*, 1990, *supra*), it is certainly plausible that the synergistic antinociceptive effects observed following s.c. co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone, are mediated by a  $\mu$ - $\kappa_2$  synergistic interaction of opioid receptors in the CNS.

#### EXAMPLE 5

*Preliminary Investigation of the Antinociceptive Effects of Hydromorphone and Fentanyl in Subanalgesic Dosing Combinations with Oxycodone Following Subcutaneous Administration to Dark Agouti Rats*

5                   The above studies have shown that co-administration of subanalgesic doses of morphine and oxycodone by both the intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) route to Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats and by the intraperitoneal (i.p.) route and subcutaneous (s.c.) route to Dark Agouti (DA) rats elicits marked antinociceptive synergy  
10 characterised by a significant increase in both the extent and duration of antinociception when compared with the expected levels of antinociception had only additive antinociceptive effects been achieved. A proposed mechanism for this synergistic effect involves the interaction between  $\mu$ - $\kappa_2$  opioid receptors. If this hypothesis is  
15 true then antinociceptive synergy may occur when other  $\mu$ -opioid agonists are administered in combination with oxycodone. Accordingly, this study was designed to investigate if the  $\mu$ -opioid agonists hydromorphone and fentanyl in subanalgesic dosing combinations with oxycodone could produce antinociceptive synergy  
20 in Dark Agouti (DA) rats by the s.c. route of administration.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### *Materials*

Oxycodone hydrochloride was a generous gift from Boots Australia Pty Ltd (Sydney, Australia). Fentanyl hydrochloride and  
25 hydromorphone hydrochloride were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Sydney, Australia). Medical grade CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> were purchased from BOC Gases Australia Ltd (Brisbane, Australia). Tail flick latencies were measured using a Columbus Instruments Tail Flick Analgesia Meter (Columbus Instruments, Ohio, USA).

30

### *Animals*

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Animal Experimentation Ethics Committee of The University of Queensland. Adult male Dark Agouti rats (7-8 weeks of age) were obtained from the Central Animal Breeding House, The University of  
5 Queensland. Rats were housed at 21°C with 12h/12 h light/dark cycle and with food and water available *ad libitum*.

#### *Experimental Procedure*

Baseline tail flick latencies (Predrug latencies) were the mean of at least three measurements taken approximately 5 min  
10 apart, prior to dosing. Rats were lightly anaesthetized using a (50:50) mixture of CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> and then 200 µL of drug solution was injected subcutaneously at the base of neck using a 250 µL glass Hamilton syringe. Tail flick latencies were measured at 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90 and 120 min following s.c. injection. The maximum tail flick latency  
15 was restricted to 9.0 s to minimize tail tissue damage.

Subanalgesic doses of each of fentanyl and hydromorphone were determined, and then administered with subanalgesic doses of oxycodone (0.15 mg).

#### *Data Analysis*

20 Raw tail flick latencies were converted to the percentage maximum possible effect (%MPE) as previously described (Example 1).

### RESULTS

The AUC value achieved after dosing DA rats with 0.15  
25 mg oxycodone was 11%. The AUC of this dose was not significantly different from that of injections of saline in control rats ( $p > 0.05$ ).

When administered in combination with oxycodone (0.15 mg), hydromorphone (0.1 mg) (FIG. 16) and fentanyl (0.015mg) (FIG. 17) displayed levels of antinociception significantly higher than that

attained by the addition of the antinociceptive levels of each drug administered alone.

### DISCUSSION

Our studies described herein have shown that  
5 subanalgesic doses of morphine and oxycodone when administered in  
combination via i.c.v., i.p. (Example 1) and s.c. (Example 4) routes of  
administration produce a synergistic antinociceptive effect. The  
mechanism for this synergistic effect has been proposed to involve  
the interaction of  $\mu$ - (morphine) and  $\kappa_2$  (oxycodone) opioid receptors.  
10 In a preliminary investigation of this mechanism, the above studies  
reveal that subcutaneous administration into DA rats of sub-analgesic  
doses of two potent  $\mu$ -opioid receptor agonists (fentanyl and  
hydromorphone) respectively in combination with a sub-analgesic dose  
of oxycodone results in the production of antinociceptive synergy.  
15 Accordingly, these results support the  $\mu$ - $\kappa_2$  synergistic mechanism of  
the invention.

### EXAMPLE 6

#### *Human Trials of Combined Dosing with Subanalgesic Doses of Morphine Plus Oxycodone*

20 Preliminary results of a human clinical trial currently  
underway in surgical patients indicate that co-administration of  
oxycodone plus morphine by the intravenous (i.v.) route elicits  
synergistic clinical responses. For example, co-injection of sub-  
therapeutic doses of morphine (1.0 mg) plus oxycodone (1.0 mg)  
25 enabled intubation of patients at induction of anaesthesia. If either  
drug had been administered alone as a 2 mg i.v. injection, intubation  
of patients would not have been possible. When oxycodone or  
morphine are administered by the i.v. route alone, doses of  
approximately 10 mg are used for intubation. Additionally the  
30 combination of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone had a



very rapid onset (within 5 min) of clinical effect in a manner similar to 10 mg (i.v.) doses of oxycodone alone and in contrast to morphine alone which is characterized by a slow onset of maximum clinical response (30 min).

5                   In terms of total opioid consumption to achieve satisfactory pain relief by patients in the 48 h study period immediately following surgery, our preliminary data indicate a reduction in total opioid requirements of approximately 5-fold.

10                   These preliminary human results showing synergistic clinical responses in patients dosed with a combination of subanalgesic doses of morphine plus oxycodone are consistent with our observations in experimental animals and are consistent with many previous studies which have shown that in terms of opioid action, rats are an appropriate model of the human.

15                   In summary, the perceived advantages of the synergistic formulations of the invention include (i) the alleviation of pain in a patient by administering markedly lower (4-20 fold) doses of a  $\mu$ - or a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid than would otherwise be required if these opioids were administered individually; (ii) reducing the frequency of dosing; and  
20                   (iii) reducing the risk of adverse opioid side-effects herein described.

**TABLE 1** Dose of Morphine and/or Oxycodone Administered (in 200  $\mu$ L Dosing Volume\*)

Cohort One		Cohort Two		
Morphine	Oxycodone	Morphine (mg):Oxycodone (mg)		
(mg)	(mg)	25:75	50:50	75:25
0.0	0.0	0.000 : 0.000	0.0 : 0.000	0.0 : 0.000
0.5	0.1	0.100 : 0.070	0.2 : 0.050	0.4 : 0.033
0.9	0.2	0.150 : 0.110	0.3 : 0.075	0.6 : 0.049
1.3	0.3	0.225 : 0.165	0.4 : 0.100	0.8 : 0.065
1.8	0.4	0.300 : 0.220	0.6 : 0.150	1.2 : 0.098
2.5	0.7	0.450 : 0.330	0.9 : 0.220	1.6 : 0.130
4.0	1.5			

**TABLE 2** % Max. AUC Values for Morphine and Oxycodone Doses (Cohort One)

Morphine (mg)	% Max. AUC		Oxycodone (mg)	% Max. AUC	
	Mean	SEM		Mean	SEM
0	0.2%	0.2%	0	0.2%	0.2%
0.5	3.5%	1.8%	0.1	1.7%	0.5%
0.9	30.2%	7.9%	0.2	19.4%	5.8%
1.3	39.2%	6.3%	0.3	33.0%	4.7%
1.8	50.3%	8.4%	0.4	44.5%	9.1%
2.5	76.7%	6.0%	0.7	73.8%	3.9%
4.0	83.4%	2.5%	1.5	94.0%	2.2%

TABLE 3    % Max. AUC Values for Morphine (Mor) and Oxycodone (Oxy) Combination Doses (Cohort Two)

Mor:Oxy 25:75				Mor:Oxy 50:50				Mor:Oxy 75:25			
Mor:Oxy		% Max. AUC		Mor:Oxy		% Max. AUC		Mor:Oxy		% Max. AUC	
(mg)		Mean	SEM	(mg)		Mean	SEM	(mg)		Mean	SEM
0.000 : 0.000		7.4%	2.5%	0.0 : 0.000		7.4%	2.5%	0.0 : 0.000		7.4%	2.5%
0.100 : 0.070		7.4%	2.7%	0.2 : 0.050		23.5%	5.0%	0.4 : 0.033		33.3%	8.1%
0.150 : 0.110		51.6%	4.6%	0.3 : 0.075		19.9%	2.6%	0.6 : 0.049		49.2%	10.5%
0.225 : 0.165		57.8%	8.0%	0.4 : 0.100		44.0%	11.7%	0.8 : 0.065		73.9%	8.6%
0.300 : 0.220		69.7%	5.9%	0.6 : 0.150		69.2%	5.3%	1.2 : 0.098		90.3%	1.5%
0.450 : 0.330		75.6%	8.2%	0.9 : 0.220		80.9%	7.8%	1.6 : 0.130		86.0%	5.4%

**TABLE 4** ED<sub>50</sub> Doses for Morphine and Oxycodone

Mor:Oxy Ratio	Mor ED <sub>50</sub> (mg)		Mor ED <sub>50</sub> (mg)	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
0 : 100	0	0	0.44	0.04
25 : 75	0.15	0.10	0.110	0.008
50 : 50	0.46	0.07	0.115	0.004
75 : 25	0.55	0.05	0.049	0.003
100 : 0	1.8	0.2	0	0

**TABLE 5** Time of Onset of Antinociception\* and Time to Achieve Maximum Antinociception (T<sub>max</sub>)

Mor:Oxy Ratio	Onset (min)*	T <sub>max</sub> (min)
0 : 100	12	20
25 : 75	5	30
50 : 50	10	20
75 : 25	10	30
100 : 0	22	45

LEGENDS**TABLE 1**

- \* Control rats received s.c. injections of normal saline

**TABLE 5**

- 5 \* Onset of antinociception is defined here as %MPE > 30%  
estimated from FIG. 11

**FIG. 1A**

Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following  
i.c.v. administration to Sprague-Dawley rats of: 40 nmol oxycodone in  
10 combination with 15 nmol morphine —; 40 nmol oxycodone solus —

**FIG. 1B**

Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following  
i.c.v. administration to Sprague-Dawley rats of: 15 nmol morphine  
15 solus —□—.

**FIG. 2A**

Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following i.p.  
administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 571 nmol oxycodone in  
combination with 621 nmol morphine —; 571 nmol oxycodone solus  
20 —.

**FIG. 2B**

Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following i.p.  
administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 621 nmol morphine solus —□—.

**FIG. 3**

25 Degree of antinociception observed following i.c.v. administration of  
(A) oxycodone (200 nmol), (B) morphine (78 nmol).

**FIG. 4**

Degree of antinociception observed following i.c.v. administration of the  $\mu_1$ -selective opioid receptor antagonist naloxonazine (1 nmol) 24 h prior to i.c.v. administration of (A) oxycodone (200 nmol) and (B) morphine (78 nmol). Control data for oxycodone and morphine are shown in FIG. 3.

**FIG. 5**

Degree of antinociception observed following i.c.v. administration of

the  $\delta$ -selective opioid antagonist, naltrindole (1 nmol) administered 15 min prior to oxycodone (200 nmol) or DPDPE (45 nmol). Control data for oxycodone are shown in FIG. 3.

#### FIG. 6

5 Degree of antinociception observed following nor-BNI (0.3 nmol) administration 24 h prior to i.c.v. administration of (A) oxycodone (200 nmol), (B) U69,593 (133 nmol), (control data for U69,593 (133 nmol i.c.v.) in untreated rats is also shown), (C) bremazocine (57 nmol) and (D) morphine (78 nmol). See FIG. 3 for oxycodone and  
10 morphine control data.

#### FIG. 7

Representative displacement curves of oxycodone and morphine against  $^3\text{H}$ -morphine in rat membranes. The  $K_d$  of morphine was determined to be 1.2 nM, while oxycodone displayed a moderately  
15 low  $K_i$  of 349 nM.

#### FIG. 8

Representative displacement curves of oxycodone and DPDPE against  $^3\text{H}$ -DPDPE-Cl in rat membranes. Oxycodone was unable to displace the  $\delta$ -opioid agonist at concentrations below 1  $\mu\text{M}$ , indicating that the  
20 affinity of oxycodone for  $\delta$ -opioid receptors is far too low for it to be an agonist at this site.

#### FIG. 9

Representative displacement curves of oxycodone and bremazocine against  $^3\text{H}$ -U69,593 in rat membranes. Oxycodone was unable to  
25 displace the  $\kappa_1$ -opioid agonist at concentrations below 10  $\mu\text{M}$ , indicating that oxycodone cannot be an agonist at the  $\kappa_1$ -opioid receptor.

#### FIG. 10

Dose-Response curve for single s.c. doses of morphine and oxycodone.  $ED_{50}$  doses (mean  $\pm$  SEM) were determined as 1.8 ( $\pm$  0.2) mg morphine and 0.44 ( $\pm$  0.04) mg oxycodone.

**FIG. 11**

- 5 Plots of % MPE versus time for 2.5 mg morphine, 0.7 mg oxycodone, 0.3 mg : 0.22 mg, 0.6 mg : 0.15 mg and 1.2 mg : 0.098 mg morphine oxycodone, demonstrating onset of antinociception (defined as % MPE  $\geq$  30%) estimated at 22, 12, 5, 10 and 10 min, respectively.

10 **FIG. 12**

Plots of % MPE versus time for 2.5 mg morphine, 0.7 mg oxycodone, 0.3 mg : 0.22 mg, 0.6 mg : 0.15 mg and 1.2 mg : 0.098 mg (morphine:oxycodone), demonstrating time to maximum antinociception ( $T_{max}$ ) of 45, 20, 30, 20 and 30 min, respectively.

15 **FIG. 13**

Morphine Dose-Response curve for s.c. doses of morphine and combined morphine plus oxycodone in ratios 25:75, 50:50 and 75:25 (morphine:oxycodone), demonstrating  $ED_{50}$  morphine doses of 1.8 ( $\pm$  0.2) mg, 0.15 ( $\pm$  0.10) mg, 0.46 ( $\pm$  0.07) mg and 0.55 ( $\pm$  0.05) mg, respectively.

20

**FIG. 14**

Oxycodone Dose-Response curve for s.c. doses of oxycodone and combined morphine plus oxycodone in ratios 25:75, 50:50 and 75:25 (morphine:oxycodone), demonstrating  $ED_{50}$  oxycodone doses of 0.44 ( $\pm$  0.04) mg, 0.110 ( $\pm$  0.008) mg, 0.115 ( $\pm$  0.004) mg and 0.049 ( $\pm$  0.003) mg, respectively.

25

**FIG. 15**

Isobologram of morphine (mg) versus oxycodone (mg). Significant



antinociceptive synergy is indicated ( $p < 0.05$ ) by solid line. (Dotted straight line indicates additive antinociception).

**FIG. 16**

5 Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following s.c. administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 0.01 mg fentanyl in combination with 0.15 mg oxycodone; and 0.01 mg fentanyl solus.

**FIG. 17**

10 Degree of antinociception (%MPE) as a function of time following s.c. administration to Dark Agouti rats of: 0.1 mg hydromorphone in combination with 0.15 mg oxycodone; and 0.1 mg hydromorphone solus.

CLAIMS

1. An analgesic composition comprising a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and a sub-analgesic dosage  
5 of a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.
2. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is selected from the group including morphine, fentanyl, sufentanil, alfentanil and hydromorphone.
- 10 3. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is morphine.
4. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is fentanyl.
5. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein  
15 the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is hydromorphone.
6. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 1 wherein the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist is oxycodone.
7. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a human adult through  
20 an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.
8. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human adult through a subcutaneous, intravenous, intramuscular, buccal or  
25 sublingual route is between about 0.1 mg and about 2.0 mg every four to eight hours.
9. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about

20.0 mg every four to eight hours.

10. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a human child through an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

11. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.04 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

12. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human child through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 0.5 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

13. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 3 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.5 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.

14. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a human adult through an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

15. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human adult through a subcutaneous or intravenous route may be between about 1.0 mg and about 4.0 mg every four to eight hours.

16. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about 5 mg every four to eight hours.

17. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a human child through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.
- 5 18. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg every four to eight hours.
- 10 19. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human child through an oral or rectal route may be between about 0.025 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg every four to eight hours.
- 15 20. An analgesic composition as claimed in Claim 6 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.
- 20 21. A method for producing analgesia in humans and lower animals which comprises administering concurrently to a human or lower animal in need of such treatment a composition comprising a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and a sub-analgesic dosage of a  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.
- 25 22. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is selected from the group including morphine, fentanyl, sufentanil, alfentanil and hydromorphone.
23. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is morphine.
24. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid

agonist is fentanyl.

25. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist is hydromorphone.

5 26. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist is oxycodone.

27. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a human adult through an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

10 28. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human adult through a subcutaneous, intravenous, intramuscular, buccal or sublingual route is between about 0.1 mg and about 2.0 mg every four to eight hours.

15 29. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about 20.0 mg every four to eight hours.

20 30. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a human child through an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.

25 31. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.04 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

32. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive human child through an oral, transdermal or rectal route is between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 0.5 mg/kg every four to eight hours.

33. A method as claimed in Claim 23 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of morphine for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.5 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.
- 5 34. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a human adult through an intracerebroventricular route is between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.
- 10 35. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human adult through a subcutaneous or intravenous route may be between about 1.0 mg and about 4.0 mg every four to eight hours.
- 15 36. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human adult through an oral or rectal route is between about 0.5 mg and about 5 mg every four to eight hours.
- 20 37. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a human child through an intracerebroventricular route may be between about 0.005 mg and about 0.25 mg per day.
38. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human child through a subcutaneous or intravenous route is between about 0.01 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg every four to eight hours.
- 25 39. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive human child through an oral or rectal route may be between about 0.025 mg/kg and about 0.05 mg/kg every four to eight hours.
40. A method as claimed in Claim 26 wherein an initial sub-

analgesic dosage of oxycodone for a naive lower animal through an oral or parenteral route is between about 0.1 mg/kg and about 5 mg/kg every three to six hours.

41. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the mode of administering the composition is selected from the group consisting of oral, rectal, parenteral, sublingual, buccal, intrathecal, epidural, intravenous, intra-articular, intramuscular, intradermal, subcutaneous, inhalational, intraocular, intraperitoneal, intracerebroventricular, transdermal.

42. A method as claimed in Claim 21 wherein the sub-analgesic dosage of the  $\mu$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof and the sub-analgesic dosage of the  $\kappa_2$ -opioid agonist or analog or derivative or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof are administered through separate routes of administration.

1/18




FIG. 1A








FIG. 1B





3/18

**FIG. 3A****FIG. 3B**

4/18

FIG. 4A









FIG. 4B





5/18



6/18

**FIG. 6A****FIG. 6B**

7/18

**FIG. 6C****FIG. 6D**

8/18




FIG. 7

9/18




FIG. 8



10/18




FIG. 9

11/18




FIG. 10

12/18




FIG. 11

13/18




FIG. 12

14/18




FIG. 13

15/18




FIG. 14

16/18




FIG 15

17/18




FIG. 16



18/18




FIG. 17

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/AU 96/00656

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**Int Cl<sup>6</sup>: A61K 045/06, 031/485, 031/445

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC: A61K, search terms as below

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

AU: IPC as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

DERWENT { (MORPHINE OR FENTANYL OR SUFENTANIL OR AFENTANIL OR HYDROMORPHONE)

CA ONLINE { AND OXYCODONE; OPIOID AGONIST

MEDLINE {

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	AU 29679/92 A (EUROCELTIQUE, S.A.) 29 July 1993 page 11 line 28 - page 12 line 26, Claim 12	1-41
A	AU 30024/92 A (EUROCELTIQUE, S.A.) 1 July 1993 page 13 line 6 - page 14 line 5, Claim 10	1-41
A	AU 66058/94 A (EUROCELTIQUE, S.A.) 12 January 1995 page 4 lines 1-29, Claims 1, 2	1-41



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C



See patent family annex

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 November 1996

Date of mailing of the international search report

29 NOV 1996

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU

AUSTRALIAN INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY ORGANISATION

PO BOX 200

WODEN ACT 2606

AUSTRALIA Facsimile No.: (06) 285 3929

Authorized officer

JOHN HANSON

Telephone No.: (06) 283 2262

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International Application No.

**PCT/AU 96/00656**

<b>C (Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT</b>		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P,X	AU 22230/95 A (ELAN CORPORATION PLC) 19 October 1995 Claim 10	1-41
A	AU 24023/92 A (BYK GULDEN LOMBERG CHEMISCHE FABRIK GmbH) 18 February 1993 see whole document	1

### Information on patent family members

**PCT/AU 96/00656**

Patent Document Cited in Search Report				Patent Family Member			
AU,A,	29679/92	CA,A	2074309	EP,A,	553392	JP,A2,	5201856
		US,A,	5286493				
AU,A,	30024/92	CA,A	2061824	EP,A,	548448	JP,A,	7165609
		US,A,	5273760	US,A,	5472712		
AU,A,	24023/92	WO,A1,	9302707				
AU,A,	22230/95	WO,A1,	9527482				
AU, A	66058/94	CA,A,	2126611	CA,A,	2127166	EP,A1,	631781
		EP,A1,	636370	JP,A2,	7145056	JP,A2,	7149648
END OF ANNEX							